

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 507 (1996) 281-282



Preliminary communication

## The reaction of $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)(CNMe)(\mu-CO)(\mu-CNMe_2)]^+$ salts with trifluoromethanesulphonic acid $(HOSO_2CF_3)$

Conor Dowling, Anthony R. Manning \*

Department of Chemistry, University College, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

Received 3 July 1995

## Abstract

In a non-coordinating solvent such as chloroform the very strong acid HOSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> reacts unexpectedly with  $[Fe_2(\eta - C_5H_5)_2(CO)(CNMe)(\mu - CNMe_2)][SO_3CF_3]$  ([1][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]) to give  $[Fe_2(\eta - C_5H_5)_2(CO)_2\{\mu - CN(H)Me\}(\mu - CNMe_2)][SO_3CF_3]_2$ , which deprotonates readily to  $[Fe_2(\eta - C_5H_5)_2(CO)_2(\mu - CNMe)(\mu - CNMe_2)][SO_3CF_3]$ , an isomer of [1]  $[SO_3CF_3]$ .

Keywords: Iron; Isocyanides; Migration; Protonation

The reaction of  $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_2(CNMe)_2]$  with MeOSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> gives two products,  $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)$ (CNMe)( $\mu$ -CO)( $\mu$ -CNMe<sub>2</sub>)][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>], ([1]]SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]) and  $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_2(\mu$ -CNME<sub>2</sub>)\_2][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]\_2, ([2] [SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>). However, [1][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>] does not react with MeOSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> to give [2][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> even in the absence of a solvent. Furthermore, in both [1]<sup>+</sup> and [2]<sup>+</sup> there is no evidence for *cis-trans* interconversion or for ligand site exchange [1]. This implies that there is a very high barrier to *t*-CNMe  $\rightarrow \mu$ -CNMe migration (which must be accompanied by  $\mu$ -CO  $\rightarrow$  *t*-CO) as once there the  $\mu$ -CNMe ligand would be alkylated very readily to a  $\mu$ -CNMe<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> ligand (see below) (t = terminal).

Consequently, we were surprised to find that the addition of pure HOSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> (1.5 g, 10 mmol) to a solution of [1][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>] (1.1 g, 2 mmol) in chloroform solution at room temperature converts the *t*-CNMe ligand of the latter to a  $\mu$ -CN(H)Me<sup>+</sup> group and its  $\mu$ -CO ligand to *t*-CO in a reaction which is shown by NMR spectroscopy to be quantitative. The product [Fe<sub>2</sub>( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>2</sub>){ $\mu$  - CN(H)Me}( $\mu$ -CNMe<sub>2</sub>)][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> ([3][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>) [2a] is related to [2][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> except that one  $\mu$ -CN(H)Me<sup>+</sup>, and indeed the two have very similar IR spectra in the 1550–2100 cm<sup>-1</sup> region [2a]. However, [3][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> may be identified unambigu-

ously by its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum which, in acidified  $CD_3CN$  solution, shows two equal resonances due to the distinguishable  $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub> groups, two unequal (ratio 3:6) Me resonances due to the CN(H)Me<sup>+</sup> and CNMe<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> ligands with CN(H)CH<sub>3</sub> coupling in the former and a broad singlet at  $\delta = 11.70$  ppm due to the  $\mu$ -CNMe proton. The spectroscopic data is that for the more important *cis*-[**3**][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> although it should be noted that its *trans* counterpart is also formed in the reaction but only with low yields. Different spectra are observed in the absence of excess acid and in different solvents owing to reversible H<sup>+</sup> dissociation from the  $\mu$ -CN(H)Me<sup>+</sup> ligand (see below).

The reaction is not completely general; it takes place with analogues of [2][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>] in which the  $\mu$ -CNMe<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> ligand has been replaced by other  $\mu$ -CN(R')R<sup>+</sup> and the *t*-CNMe ligand by *t*-CNR" where R, R' or R" = ethyl or 2,6-diethylphenyl, but it does not take place with HOSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> in coordinating solvents such as acetonitrile or with weaker acids such as CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H even in chloroform. If it is carried out on [Fe<sub>2</sub>( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CNR)-(CNMe)( $\mu$ -CO)( $\mu$ -CNMe<sub>2</sub>)][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>], two products are possible. [Fe<sub>2</sub>( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CO)(CNMe){ $\mu$ -CN(H)R}( $\mu$ -CNMe<sub>2</sub>)][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> arises from migration of the CNR ligand, and [Fe<sub>2</sub>( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CO)(CNR){ $\mu$ -CN(H)Me}( $\mu$ -CNMe<sub>2</sub>)][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> from migration of the CNMe ligand. Both are formed when R = Et and 2,6-diethylphenyl, but not in equal amounts.

When complexes such as  $[3][SO_3CF_3]_2$  are passed down an acetone-alumina column, they lose H<sup>+</sup> from

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.



Scheme 1. (i) HOSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>-CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution; (ii) Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-acetone: (iii)  $h\nu$ -CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution.

the  $\mu$ -CN(H)Me<sup>+</sup> ligand to give high yields (greater than 90%) of derivatives such as  $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_2(\mu-CNMe_2)][SO_3CF_3]$  ([4][SO\_3CF\_3]) (Scheme 1) [2b] which shows no N(H) resonance in its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. This particular compound is an isomer of [1][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>] and reverts to it on standing in solution in the presence of light, but the solid is indefinitely stable in the dark. It can be trapped by reaction with electrophiles, e.g. with HOSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> it reforms [3][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (Scheme 1), and EtOSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> converts it to  $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_2{\mu-CN(Et)Me}(\mu-CNMe_2)]$ -[SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>.

## **Reference and note**

- G. Cox, C. Dowling, A.R. Manning, P. McArdle and D. Cunningham, J. Organomet. Chem., 438 (1992) 143, and references cited therein.
- [2] All compounds described herein have been isolated as analytically pure solids. (a) [3]  $[SO_3CF_3]_2$ : Anal. Found: C, 32.8; H, 3.0, N, 39.0. Calc.: C, 32.9; H, 2.9; N, 4.0%. Isolated yield, 85%. IR (KBr disc):  $\nu$ (CO) 2048, 2023;  $\nu$ ( $\mu$ -CN) 1620 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN-HOSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> solution):  $\delta$  5.64 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 5.59 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 4.11 (CN(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 3.88 (doublet, J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.13 Hz, CN(H)CH<sub>3</sub>), 11.70 {CN(H)Me} ppm. (b) [4][SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>]: Anal. Found: C, 39.6; H,3.3; N, 5.3. Calc.: C, 39.7; H, 3.5; N, 5.2%. Isolated yield, 90%. IR (KBr disc):  $\nu$ (CO) 2013, 1981,  $\nu$ ( $\mu$ -CNMe) 1786;  $\nu$ ( $\mu$ -CNMe<sub>2</sub>) 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN solution):  $\delta$  5.09 (2C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 4.22 (CN(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 3.72 (CNCH<sub>3</sub>) ppm. For the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra the chemical shifts are given as downfield from tetramethylsilane as an internal standard with integrations as shown by the assignments.